United States District Court Southern District of Texas

ENTERED

September 21, 2023

Nathan Ochsner, Clerk

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION

| PARIS RICHARDSON, | § | |
|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| | § | |
| Plaintiff, | § | |
| | § | |
| VS. | § CIVIL ACTION NO | . 2:22-CV-00176 |
| | § | |
| BOBBY LUMPKIN, | § | |
| | § | |
| Defendant. | § | |
| | | |

ORDER ADOPTING MEMORANDUM AND RECOMMENDATION OF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

On August 22, 2023, United States Magistrate Judge Mitchel Neurock issued his "Memorandum and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge" (M&R, D.E. 17), recommending that this action be dismissed. The parties were provided proper notice of, and opportunity to object to, the Magistrate Judge's M&R. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b); 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); General Order No. 2002-13. No objections have been timely filed.

When no timely objection to a magistrate judge's M&R is filed, the district court need only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record and accept the magistrate judge's M&R. *Guillory v. PPG Indus., Inc.*, 434 F.3d 303, 308 (5th Cir. 2005) (citing *Douglass v. United Servs. Auto Ass'n*, 79 F.3d 1415, 1420 (5th Cir. 1996)).

Having reviewed the findings of fact and conclusions of law set forth in the Magistrate Judge's M&R (D.E. 17), and all other relevant documents in the record, and finding no clear error, the Court **ADOPTS** as its own the findings and conclusions of the

Magistrate Judge. Accordingly, Respondent's motion for summary judgment (D.E. 14, 14-1) is **GRANTED**, Petitioner's 28 U.S.C. § 2254 habeas action (Doc. No. 1) is **DENIED**, and this action is **DISMISSED**. In the event that Petitioner requests a Certificate of Appealability, that request is **DENIED**.

ORDERED on September 21, 2023.

NELVA GÓNZALES RAMOS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE